

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/777,274	02/05/2001	Jean Paul Marcade	ENDOV-54735	3685
24201	7590 03/25/2004	EXAMINER		INER
FULWIDER PATTON LEE & UTECHT, LLP HOWARD HUGHES CENTER			WILLSE, DAVID H	
6060 CENTER DRIVE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
TENTH FLOOR LOS ANGELES. CA 90045		3738		
_ ,	, 5:1 , 500 , 5		DATE MAIL ED: 03/25/200	1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)
09/777,274	MARCADE ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
Dave Willse	3738

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED March 8, 2004, FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.

	ination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.
	PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]
b) [The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).
have be 37 CFR (b) abov	tensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee election in the final content of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in the period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in the period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in the period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in the period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in the period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in the period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in the period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in the period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in the period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in the period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in the period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in the period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in the period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in the period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in the period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in the period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in the period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (3) as set for the final Office action; or (4) as set for the final Office action; or (4) as set for the final Office action; or (4) as set for the final Office action; or (4) as set for the final Office
1.	A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.
2.🛛	The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:
(a)	they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
(b)	they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);
(c)	they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
(d)	they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
	NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.
3.	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):
4.	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).
5.	The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:
6.	The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.
7.🛛	For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.
	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:
	Claim(s) allowed:
	Claim(s) objected to:
	Claim(s) rejected: <u>67-72 and 74-81</u> .
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:
8.	The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.
9.	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)
10.	Other:
	Dave Willse Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3738

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Application No.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The Applicant has failed to specifically point out the support in the original disclosure for the claim limitations presented in the Amendments of March 8, 2004, and October 30, 2003 (MPEP 714.02). The proposed revisions require further review of references such as US 5,653,743 as to whether the structure is capable of mating in the manner claimed without interfering with a patient's vasculature, particularly in view of column 4, lines 30-33, of said patent.